

Bangladesh Journal of Integrated Thoughts (BJIT)

Manuscript Review Form

Please treat this information as strictly confidential. Please return the completed form together with the manuscript to the Executive Editor at editor.bjit@gmail.com.

Review Cycle (please X):	FIRST Review ()	SECOND Review ()	THIRD Review ()	
Type of Manuscript (please X):	Original Article ()	Review Article ()	Research Note ()	Book Review ()

SECTION I: BASIC INFORMATION— will not be revealed to author(s) (to be completed by the Referee)

Reviewer's Name		Date Sent to Reviewer	
Reviewer's Affiliation		Date Received by Reviewer	
Reviewer's Email		Date Submitted by Reviewer	
Manuscript No.	BJIT_320	Date Received by EE	
Title of the Paper	Impediments to Child Trafficking Survivors for Reintegration in Bangladesh		

SECTION II: RATING

(Referee to rate the article) 1=poor; 2=fair; 3=good; 4=very good; 5=excellent (Please X)

No	CRITERIA	1	2	3	4	5
1.	Clarity of formal structure		X			
2.	Suitability of title to the contents			X		
3.	Abstract sufficiently informative			X		
4.	Originality / Novelty		X			
5.	Relevant published literature (study background, previous studies) appropriately introduced and discussed			X		
6.	The paper adopts or integrates an Islamic perspective.			X		
7.	Theoretical aspects clearly developed and relevant to the findings reported			X		
8.	Methodology sufficiently described		X			
9.	Methodology appropriate to study		X			
10.	Results / Conclusions supported by data analysis		X			
11.	The paper includes all the important features appropriate to the research in the particular field.			X		
12.	Alternative interpretations identified, discussed and adequately addressed		X			
13.	Clarity in the presentation of findings			X		
14.	Scientific soundness			X		
15.	The paper is formatted according to the APA guidelines (including full list reference)		X			

SECTION III: RECOMMENDATION (Please choose one and mark X)

- Accept as it is: ()
- Accept with minor revision: ()
- Accept with major revision: ()
- Reject: (X)

Note:

ACCEPT AS IT IS: The manuscript warrants publication as a peer-reviewed article. It is a solid contribution and is well conceived & executed.

ACCEPT WITH MINOR REVISIONS: The manuscript should be accepted after minor revisions as noted in the comments. **N.B:** Reviewers' comments should be sufficiently specific and detailed for the author to address issues of concern.

ACCEPT WITH MAJOR REVISIONS: The manuscript does not warrant publication in its current form, but it will warrant publication as a peer-reviewed article with suggested revisions.

REJECT: The manuscript does not warrant publication as a peer-reviewed article

SECTION IV: OVERALL COMMENTS AND SUGGESTIONS FOR REVISION

- Comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the paper, in terms of originality, significance, interest, up-to-datedness, coherence, and balanced argumentation.
- Comment on the areas that need revision (e.g. research questions, significance of the study, literature, methodology, findings, etc.) and offer suggestions for improvement.

Your comments in this section will be transmitted to the author(s) anonymously.

.....

I was very interested to read this manuscript after I see the catchy title. I thought this would give the readers a bird's eye view first and then would pinpoint the literature on this broad topic to identify the literature gaps as well as future research direction. But, from the reading of this full manuscript I have identified that this paper suffers from a number of issues which must be addressed and worked rigorously before submitting to any journal for publication.

My broad comments in terms of items are:

- 1- communication error.
- 2- research rationale missing
- 3- poor presentation of tables and figures
- 4- inadequate as well as shallow discussions of findings
- 5- research findings are not found complementary to the existing knowledge of review papers
- 6- inappropriate future limitations as well as implications
- 7- Conclusion
- 8- referencing uniformity and accuracy
- 9- last but not the least, typos and formatting error.

I hope author/s will be benefited from my broad comments. I also believe this comment will not discourage them for further endeavour of quality research writing and publications. Thanks for your effort until now.