**Reviewer 1**

The reviewer has given the following suggestions to enhance the quality of this manuscript:

1. The current title is very long. The authors may consider following potential title. This is only a suggestion. The title should be short and attractive.

“What Factors Motivate Socially Responsible Investments in Malaysia?”

1. I’d like to recommend incorporating the following points into the abstract:

* To draw the readers' attraction, please highlight what problems are encountered by investors in the context of socially responsible investments.
* Please briefly discuss why Malaysian investors are chosen instead of others.
* Please briefly indicate what research methodology has been employed.
* Please state clearly from which sources data have been collected. Was it from the entire Malaysia or a certain part of Malaysia?
* Please state clearly what kind of software you have applied to analyse the data.
* Please clearly indicate results.

**Note to author(s):** Many readers will only read abstract of your manuscript. Therefore, it has to be **stand-alone**. In most cases, the abstract is the only part of your article that appears in indexing databases such as Web of Science or Scopus. So, it will be the most accessed part of your article, and making a good impression will encourage researchers to read your full paper and cite as well.

1. In the introduction, the motivation of the research seems to be a little bit weak and seems rather unspecified.
2. Research questions must be explicitly stated in the introduction. Show how the main literature informs the formulation of your research question(s).
3. Some direct statements require citational support. For example, on page 2 the author(s) mentioned that, “Sustainable investing, also known as socially responsible investing, is becoming an increasingly popular trend among mainstream investors.” “Global challenges such as climate change and pollution have prompted investors to demand more ethical and responsible corporate practices.” And so on.
4. In terms of your main literature, why Socially Responsible Investors in Malaysia are important? Why not other sectors instead of SRI? What characteristics distinguish Malaysia from other neighboring countries? How do these characteristics address the existing knowledge gap in literature?
5. Moreover, why your proposed model is important? What aspect that your proposed model address? Why were these aspects overlooked by previous research – especially in the main literature?
6. I would ask the author(s) to add at least 5-10 new journal articles (not conferences – not blogs – not practitioner reports) published in 2024. I would suggest using journal articles from reputable major outlets. I would suggest you use the 5-10 papers to strengthen the motivational section (e.g. show how your work is novel compared to other recent similar works) or to strengthen the theoretical development.
7. Please address the following items in your research methodology section.

* Where are the measurement items were taken? Was it adopted or adapted?
* What is the sampling framework of your samples?
* Why current methodology has been used to analyse the data instead of others?

1. Tables 4, 6,7, 9, and 10 have no value. Could you please delete them?
2. In Table 8, please delete the REMARKS part/column. Only keep results (support/not support).
3. Almost all table’s findings require explaining WHY.
4. Show how your findings are addressing your research question.

**Reviewer 2**

**Thank you very much and best wishes for your future endeavors.**

1. **The title is too wordy! User abbreviations to make the title shorter.**
2. **Instead of future tense, use present tense in the abstract. Highlight the key findings and implications of the study in 3-4 sentences apart from the background and methodology-related sentences in the abstract.**
3. **The introduction should answer the what and why question of the study. It should be supported by relevant literature as well.**
4. **The literature review could be better organized by grouping related theories and concepts more cohesively, which would improve the logical flow of the arguments.**
5. **The sampling method and justification for the sample size are not clearly explained. Provide a more detailed description of the sampling process, survey design, and the reasoning behind choosing SmartPLS for data analysis.**
6. **Discuss unexpected results in more depth and explore potential alternative interpretations or reasons for any discrepancies.**
7. **If the research is within the field of Islamic finance, add sections that address Islamic principles relevant to SRI.**
8. **Discuss potential reasons for the unexpected results and consider other factors that might have influenced the findings.**
9. **The discussion does not adequately explain why certain hypotheses were supported or not supported. Providing a more in-depth interpretation of the results in the context of the literature would enhance the analysis.**
10. **The conclusion should more clearly articulate the broader implications of the study for theory, policy, and practice. What are the study's contributions to the field, and how should future researchers build on it?**
11. **Recommendations for policymakers and practitioners could be more specific. For instance, how can the findings be used to develop financial literacy programs or promote SRI among Malaysian investors?**
12. **Future research directions should be more explicitly outlined, identifying gaps in the study and suggesting areas for further investigation.**