

Budget Deficits and Growth Nexus in Bangladesh: An ARDL Approach

Md. Sahadat Hosain¹, Sayeed Bin Kamal Chowdhury², Mohammad Sohaib³ and
Zobayer Ahmed⁴

ABSTRACT

This investigation delineates the complex interplay between fiscal deficits and economic growth in Bangladesh from 1980 to 2022, utilizing an Autoregressive Distributed Lag (ARDL) model. It meticulously assesses how foreign direct investment, fiscal disparities, and economic openness influence GDP per capita. The analysis reveals that fiscal deficits may stimulate economic activity in the short term under certain conditions; however, over an extended period, they are likely to increase borrowing costs and reduce private sector investment. This bifurcated impact underscores the intricate role of fiscal policies within economic dynamics. The study proposes a strategy to capitalize on the short-term benefits of fiscal deficits while maintaining long-term budgetary integrity. The primary limitations involve reliance on historical data and the ARDL model's inability to encompass all economic interactions, suggesting that subsequent research could adopt more advanced methodologies or contemporary data sets. This study contributes to our understanding of the conditional effects of fiscal policies in developing nations, such as Bangladesh. It offers policy recommendations to optimize economic outcomes derived from budgetary deficits.

KEYWORDS

Gross Domestic Product, Foreign Direct Investment, Budget Deficit, Trade Openness, Bangladesh

1. Introduction

Bangladesh, a South Asian economy, has achieved significant economic growth by improving living standards and reducing poverty through a diversified economy encompassing services, textiles, and agriculture. However, the complex relationship between real economic growth and fiscal policy, particularly budget

¹ University of Chittagong, Email: sahadatcuds@gmail.com

² Lecturer, Department of Development Studies, University of Chittagong
Email: sayeedbinkamal@gmail.com

³ Assistant Professor, Department of Development Studies, University of Chittagong
Email: asaedmdsohaib.dsdu@gmail.com

⁴ Assistant Professor at Economics & Banking, International Islamic University Chittagong: Chittagong, Email: ecozobayer@gmail.com

deficits, remains underexplored. By following this assumption, our study reassessed this issue using a time series approach. Okafor, Ekesiobi, Ifebi, Dimnwobi, and Asongu (2022) clarified that if a government consistently spends more than it earns, resulting in a budget deficit, it can result in a current account deficit. This occurs when the government relies heavily on borrowing from overseas to address its deficit, leading to a reevaluation of the domestic currency. Additionally, the situation may prompt an upsurge in import-driven consumer spending due to a rise in aggregate demand.




Figure 1: Budget deficit as a percentage of GDP and linear trend

On the contrary, economic growth is characterized by a quantitative improvement in the real overall value of goods and services produced by an economy during a specific time frame. It serves as a core indicator of an economy, typically measured by the percentage change in its gross domestic product (GDP), as outlined by Pelsa and Balina (2022). Here, Figure 1 illustrates the linear trend of the budget deficit, and Figure 2 presents the trend in GDP growth, using GDP per capita data.




Figure 2: GDP growth and linear trend

Examining the influence of fiscal deficit on GDP per capita serves as an indicator of economic growth and is an expected area of investigation, especially

in the context of Bangladesh. Numerous studies have explored this relationship, with Rana and Wahid (2017) discovering a statistically significant inverse connection between the budget deficit and economic growth in Bangladesh. Conversely, Biplob (2019) presented divergent findings, suggesting a positive impact of fiscal shortfall on GDP expansion in both the near and extended future in Bangladesh. Additionally, Eman (2021) and Alam, Sadekin, and Saha (2022) conducted separate studies to examine the effect of fiscal shortfall on GDP expansion in Bangladesh through time series data. And various analytical approaches.

Apart from the specific examination of the budget deficit, additional research has developed into the broader implications of broader economic indicators on GDP growth in Bangladesh. Chowdhury, Hamid, and Akhi (2019) analyzed the impact of various aggregate economic variables, including GDP expansion, inflation, actual interest rates, currency exchange rates, and increases in household consumption expenditures, on economic growth in Bangladesh. Additionally, Hossin (2023) investigated the correlation among the deregulation of interest rates, the advancement of financial systems, and the economic expansion in Bangladesh (Hossin, 2023). The impact of budget deficits on economic growth is not yet confined to Bangladesh. Research conducted in other nations, including Ethiopia and Nigeria, has explored this correlation (Sirah, 2020; Umeh, Ochuba, & Ihezue, 2021). These investigations provide valuable insights into the mixed effects of fiscal shortfalls on GDP expansion from different country perspectives.

The relationship between budget deficits and economic growth remains a subject of significant debate, particularly in developing economies like Bangladesh, where fiscal policy plays a crucial role in maintaining economic stability. Empirical studies yield mixed results, with some indicating deficits stimulate growth and others warning of long-term harms. Bangladesh's unique economic context amplifies this complexity. This study aims to reconcile these conflicting views and deepen the understanding of the impact of budget deficits on Bangladesh's growth. Existing literature often overlooks the dynamic interactions of foreign direct investment, trade openness, and inadequately explores Keynesian and Neoclassical theories. Thus, a comprehensive and methodologically robust analysis tailored to Bangladesh is needed. Employing an ARDL approach, this study examines the relationship between the budget deficit and growth in Bangladesh. The ARDL model's ability to manage different integration orders and capture both long- and short-term dynamics makes it ideal for this analysis. The study aims to reassess the theoretical and practical understanding of the budget deficit-growth nexus and inform sustainable development policy, with the objectives of exploring the theoretical relationship and the empirical nexus in Bangladesh, based on the findings.

After the introductory section, this paper is structured as follows: Section 2 offers an overview of the existing research and identifies research gaps. In Section 3, the methodology. Subsequently, in Section 4, we empirically tested the connection between fiscal deficit and economic growth using the ARDL approach,

incorporating residual diagnostic tests to ensure the reliability of the findings. Section 5 synthesizes the findings, discusses policy implications, and suggests directions for future research. Finally, the conclusion is followed by policy suggestions in Section 6.

2. Literature Review

Theoretically, the study on the economic nexus between budget deficits and economic growth in Bangladesh is grounded in both Keynesian and Neoclassical theories. Keynesian theory, as articulated by Chambers, Dimson, and Foo (2015), posits a positive relationship, advocating for increased government spending during downturns to stimulate economic growth by boosting demand and economic activity. Conversely, the Neoclassical perspective, as detailed by Canterbury (2009), suggests a negative relationship, arguing that persistent deficits raise interest rates, thereby crowding out private investment and hindering long-run economic growth. This theoretical dichotomy underscores the multifaceted effects of fiscal policies on economic growth, thereby setting the stage for an empirical examination of the Bangladesh economy over time.

Numerous academic research papers have examined the relationship between budget deficits and economic growth using various analytical methods. Table 1 illustrates that the global effect of fiscal deficit on GDP, as a proxy for economic growth, varies significantly, reflecting diverse economic structures and fiscal policies. Studies covering multiple countries, like those by Nazari, Asadi, and Imanian (2023) on OPEC countries and Tung (2018) on Vietnam, often find negative impacts, suggesting that deficits can lead to unsustainable debt levels and hinder economic performance. Conversely, findings from Shah, Jadoon, and Afridi (2022) on Pakistan and Arif and Arif (2023) across 66 countries reveal mixed effects, where political stability, quality of governance, and the nature of deficit financing can turn the economic outcomes positive. Such variability emphasizes the complexity of fiscal policy impacts, where the specific context of deficit usage and economic conditions play a crucial role (Arif & Arif, 2023; Nazari et al., 2023; Shah et al., 2022; Tung, 2018).

Table 1: Empirical Studies on other countries

Authors (Year)	Countries	Study period	Methodology	Findings	Relationship
(Nazari et al., 2023)	12 OPEC members countries	1997-2013	PP-GARCH method, the panel ARDL model (PMG & MG method)	1. Production uncertainty was much more devastating than oil revenue uncertainty. 2. The structural and internal challenges facing OPEC nations have a greater adverse effect on economic growth. 3. To increase economic growth, government size reduction is suggested	-ve

(de Mendonça & Baca, 2022)		(Chirwa & Odhiambo, 2016)	(Mavodyo, 2022)	(Arif & Arif, 2023)	(Tung, 2018)
13 OECD countries	welfare and non-welfare countries	developing and developed economies	South Africa	66 countries from	Vietnam
1980-2016	1990-2020		1975-2020	1996-2020	2003-2016
*SNR *Contraction factor * Panel data	Panel Regression (PQR) Model	LR, Qualitative survey of previous empirical studies	Dynamic ordinary least squares (DOLS) approach	FEM & REM, pooled mean group (PMG) and mean group (MG) estimation method	Error Correction model (ECM) on the quarterly data of 2003-2016 with 56 observations, Johansen test
* Fiscal opacity must be reduced to avoid undermining economic growth.	1. Quality of Government (QoG) supports growth sustainability in both welfare and non-welfare nations. 2. Poor fiscal management, corruption, and absence of QoG are the key barriers to EG in non-welfare countries.	1. The genuine impact on growth of these factors differs between economies. 2. Macroeconomic factors are significantly related to EG for both countries	1. Deficit reduction does not affect the long-term real interest rate. 2. Negatively affect private growth in public capital accumulation and gross national savings. 3. Granger causality shows a unidirectional relationship between BD and EG	1. Corruption in government agencies causes a considerable increase in the fiscal shortfall. 2. Political stability, enhanced bureaucratic quality, democratic accountability, and adherence to the rule of law contribute to a reduction in the budget deficit.	1. Private Investment (PI), Foreign Direct Investment (FDI), and net exports (NX) are negatively impacted by fiscal deficit. 2. PI, FDI, and international trade (IT) play a significant part in Vietnam's economic development. 3. Government enterprises should be transferred to the private sector by using the equitizing strategy, as it is one of the substantial factors (turnover expenditure) of prolonged shortfall.
+ve	(+ve) (for welfare countries) (-ve) (for non-welfare countries)	(Mixed result)	-ve Unidirectional	both +ve and -ve	-ve both the short run and the long run

(Ravinthrakumaran, Selvanathan, & Selvanathan, 2016)	(Sadat, Najarzadeh, & Agheli, 2022)	(Alam, Sadekin, & Saha, 2022)	(Shah et al., 2022)
5 SAARC countries	Afghanistan	Pakistan	Vanuatu
1980-2012	2003-2017	1980-2019	1981-2016
Cointegration analysis, ECM, and Granger causality test under the VAR model.	Hylleberg-Engle-Granger-Yoo (HEGY), and ADF, Unit root test,	time series data, Zivot-Andrew unit root test, ADF, PP, and ARDL approaches	*The Clemente-Montanes-Reyes unit root test *The Gregory-Hansen cointegration test *VECM
1. The governments of Pakistan and Sri Lanka. Should formulate a policy to control BD to manage CAD (Current Account Deficit), lessening current expenditure by minimizing its size or increasing revenue 2. Bangladesh, Nepal, and India should focus on promoting strong mass exports, which will benefit them. 3. Fiscal and trade sector reformations is essential, which is easy under a democratic and disciplined government.	Budget deficit, FDI, Real Interest rate, Inflation rate, and Real Exchange Rate positively impact economic growth	1. positive effect with or without a structural break 2. presence of twin deficit in both the short term and long term	*Alternative methods of financing *GE on health care, wages and salaries, agriculture, and education all contribute to long-term EG *spending on wages and salaries impacts short-term economic development when health and education have long-run beneficial influence *a negative impact of transport & communication expenditure
(+ve) (Pakistan & Sri Lanka) (-ve) Bangladesh, India, Nepal)	Mixed result	(+ve) (both in the short run and long run)	(-ve) (in the long run, when financed by TR) (+ve) (in the long run, when financed by other sources)

Source: Summarized by the author

* SNR (Signal-to-noise ratio), GE (Government Expenditure), CAD (Current Account Deficit), Autoregressive Distributed Lag (ARDL)

On the contrary, Table 2 presents a multifaceted view of the relationship between fiscal deficits and economic growth in Bangladesh, broadly split along the lines of Keynesian and Neoclassical economic theories. While studies such as those by Hussain and Haque (2017) and Rana and Wahid (2017) report a generally negative impact of budget deficits on growth, suggesting crowding-out effects and higher interest rates that hinder private investment, other studies offer a contrasting positive perspective. For instance, Biplob (2019) and Alam, Sadekin, Islam, and Moudud-Ul-Huq (2022) found a beneficial impact from budget deficits on economic growth, suggesting that under certain conditions, such as low private investment levels and high unemployment, deficit spending can stimulate economic demand and growth. This duality in findings suggests a nuanced economic landscape where the impact of fiscal policy is contingent upon broader economic conditions and policies (Alam, Sadekin, Islam, et al., 2022; Biplob, 2019; Hussain & Haque, 2017).

Table 2: Empirical Studies on Bangladesh

Authors (Year)	period	Context	Methodology	Findings	Relationship
(Alam, Sadekin, & Saha, 2022)	1980–2018	to investigate the impact of selected macro variables on BD	VECM causality test, cointegration test (secondary data)	*Cointegration test result shows a positive relationship in the long run when VECM states adverse in the short term. *GDP has a negative relation both in the short and long run. * The results of the Granger Causality test suggest the existence of both unilateral causal connections and mutual causal associations among the variables.	Keynesian(+ve) neoclassical(-ve)
(Abdullah, Azad, & Siddiqua, 2018)	1975-2015	to investigate the impact of BD on EG	VAR-VECM ADF, PP, KPSS, Cointegration test	Over time, the budget deficit's influence on GDP is anticipated to be beneficial.	(+) ve
(Rana & Wahid, 2017)	1981-2014	To picture the impact of BD on EG	OLS, VECM, Granger causality test, Time series analysis	The government should ensure the following matters to attract domestic and foreign investments: ensuring a balanced alignment between fiscal and monetary policies, alongside reforms in the tax system, closing tax loopholes, promoting political stability, and upholding the rule of law within the country.	(-) ve*
(Sadekin, Alam, & Saha, 2020)	1980-2018.	to state the picture of BD, its trend, and source	Bryman' s Descriptive method (2003) secondary data	*Two sources of gov. BD: domestic and foreign sources. The government should concentrate on other areas, including developing internal resources, initiating action to create investable resources, and establishing a fund to finance non-development expenditure. * Crowding out effect: government bank loans on private sector investment	Keynesian (+ve), neoclassical(-ve) Ricardian(-) ve* (Unbiased Nexus)
(Hussain & Haque, 2017)	1993-2016	Evaluating the impact of FD on EG	VECM (Theoretical with empirical justification)	* A direct association between Fiscal Deficit (FD) and GDPGR according to Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics (BBS) data, and negative at the 5% level due to WBDI. * The displacement effect of government bank loans on private sector investment	(+) ve for BBS and (-) ve* for WBDI

(Alam, Sadekin, Islam, et al., 2022)	(Roy & Gupta, 2013)	(Tran, 2022)	(Ravinthirakumaran et al., 2016)	(Dey & Tareque, 2022)
1981–2018	1972 - 2012	2000–2019	1980–2012	1980 -2018
To evaluate the impact of financing budget deficits on economic growth	To examine the causal link between budget and trade deficits	to explore the impact of budget deficits on economic growth in Asia	Cointegration analysis, ECM, Granger causality test under the VAR model	To scrutinize the twin deficits hypothesis, CAD and FD, as well as the causality between TD and
VECM Unit root test, Cointegration test, Granger Causality Test (secondary data)	VAR Model, ADF and PP unit root test, cointegration test, Granger causality test	panel data regression of 48 countries	Cointegration analysis, Error correction modeling (ECM), Granger causality test under the VAR model.	ARDL, VAR through multivariate farmwork
1. one-way causal link (GDD to RGDP, RGDP to GEXD, and GEXD to MS) 2. A bidirectional causal relationship between MS and GDD	*Bidirectional causality in the short run between two deficits *Reduce the BD in order to enhance the TAB	1. Poor budget management negatively affects EG 2. A surplus budget ranging from 22.6935–25.1950% of GDP fosters peak economic growth 3. Reduction of the budget deficit positively impacts economic growth in Asia	1. The governments of Pakistan and Sri Lanka. Should formulate a policy to control BD to manage CAD, lessening current expenditure by minimizing its size or increasing revenue 2. Bangladesh, Nepal, and India should focus on strong encouragement of mass exports, which will benefit them 3. Fiscal and trade sector reformation is essential, which is easy under a democratic and	1. Presence of the twin deficits theory in Bangladesh, both in the short term and long term 2. Unidirectional causation running from BD to CAD 3. The trade model supports twin deficits, similar to the current account model. Governance is a crucial aspect of evaluating development outcomes. 5. BD is the key to controlling CAD and TD in the case of Bangladesh
(+) ve	(-) ve*	(+ve)	(+ve) (Pakistan & Sri Lanka) (-ve) (Bangladesh, India, Nepal)	(+ve) (BD & CAD both in the short run and long run) (-ve) (BD & TD in the long run)

Source: Summarized by the author

*BD-Budget Deficit, EG-Economic Growth, TAD- Trade Account Balance, GDD-Government Domestic Debt, GEXD-Government External Debt, MS-Money Supply, RGDP- Real Gross Domestic Product, ADF-Augmented Dickey Fuller, PP-Phillips - Perron, KPSS-Kwiatkowski-Phillips-Schmidt-Shin, TA- Trade Sector

Despite extensive studies, a gap remains in understanding the conditional factors that influence the effectiveness of deficit spending. Most studies focus on direct correlations without investigating the mechanisms through which budget deficits affect economic variables, such as investment and consumption, in different economic contexts. Furthermore, there is a lack of consensus on the long-term effects of budget deficits on economic growth, with studies showing both positive and negative outcomes. This indicates a need for more detailed research that considers varying economic conditions, the nature of government spending, and its direct impacts on different sectors of the economy.

3. Methodology

3.1 Data and Source

The research utilized time series data obtained from the World Development Indicators (WDI) and the Bangladesh Economic Review (BER). The sample size for Bangladesh was selected over the period from 1980 to 2022. The dependent variable is selected as GDP growth (Table 2).

Table 2: Variables and data source

Variable Acronym	Variable name	Data	Data source
GDP	GDP Per Capita	GDP per capita (current US\$)	WDI
FDI	Foreign Direct Investment	Foreign direct investment, net inflows (BoP, current US\$)	WDI
TO	Trade Openness	Trade as a percentage of GDP	WDI
BD	Budget Deficit	Budget Deficit	Bangladesh Economic Review

3.2 Nature of Analysis

The research employs the Autoregressive Distributed Lag (ARDL) regression to analyze the effect of Foreign Direct Investment (FDI), Budget Deficit (BD), and Trade Openness (TO) on GDP per capita, a proxy for economic growth, in Bangladesh across the temporal duration from 1980 to 2022. The dependent variable, GDP Per Capita, is regressed against these key economic indicators, with FDI and BD expected to have a positive influence on economic growth. At the same time, Trade Openness is hypothesized to have a detrimental impact. The model's goodness of fit is evaluated using R-squared, and the F-statistic tests the overall significance of the regression model. The robustness of the results is assessed with standard errors and t-statistics to confirm the significance of the coefficients.

3.1 Theoretical Framework

The theoretical framework examines Keynesian economics, which advocates for increased government spending during recessions to stimulate demand (Chambers et al., 2015), with the Neoclassical perspective that cautions against long-term risks associated with budget deficits, such as higher interest rates that may displace private investment (Barro, 1989; Canterbury, 2009). The contrasting perspectives highlight the complexity of how fiscal policy influences economic growth.

3.2 Econometric Model

The association between the dependent variable and the independent variables is expressed in the following equation.

$$GDP_t = \alpha + \beta_1 GDP_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n=4} \gamma_i FDI_{t-i} + \Delta BD_t + \theta_1 TO_{t-1} + \epsilon_t \text{-----} \quad (1)$$

Here, GDP_t is the GDP per capita as a proxy of economic growth at time t . GDP_{t-1} is the lag of GDP per capita by one period. FDI_{t-i} , where $i = 1, 2, 3, \text{ and } 4$, are the foreign direct investment levels lagged by periods, respectively. BD_t is the budget deficit at time t (current period, no lag). TO_{t-1} is the trade openness lagged by one period. α is the intercept, and β_1, γ, Δ and θ_1 are the coefficients of the respective variables. ϵ_t is the error term at time t .

4. Result Analysis

4.1 Descriptive Statistics

The descriptive statistics of the data in Table 3 indicate that the GDP per capita (GDP PC) exhibits a higher mean value compared to the median, suggesting a skewed distribution with outliers or extremely high values. The significant difference between the mean and median, combined with a high standard deviation, points to substantial variability in GDP per capita. The skewness and kurtosis values, along with a significant Jarque-Bera test, further corroborate that the GDP per capita data are typically distributed and exhibit a right-skewed distribution, with a long tail on the higher end of the values.

Table 3: Descriptive statistics

	GDP PC	FDI	BD	TO
Mean	730.9535	7.25E+08	-5.878140	29.03372
Median	410.0485	1.90E+08	-5.300000	27.88006
Maximum	2688.306	2.83E+09	-3.400000	48.11092
Minimum	193.4094	-6660000.	-9.300000	16.68780
Std. Dev.	686.4391	9.06E+08	1.751530	9.202492
Skewness	1.548262	0.951856	-0.778808	0.496466
Kurtosis	4.161308	2.502386	2.445098	2.246727
Jarque-Bera	19.59563	6.936871	4.898570	2.783054
Probability	0.000056	0.031166	0.086355	0.248695
Sum Sq. Dev.	19790345	3.45E+19	128.8501	3556.806

Source: The author's calculations

For Foreign Direct Investment (FDI), the descriptive statistics reveal a similar pattern of right-skewness, with the mean much greater than the median, and a high standard deviation. This indicates a wide variation in investment levels. The negative minimum value suggests that there were years with net outflows of FDI, while the high maximum indicates years of significant inflows. The FDI data deviate from a normal distribution, as evidenced by the Jarque-Bera test. The budget deficit (BD) data present a contrasting picture, where the mean is less than the median, suggesting a distribution that is skewed to the left. The extensive spread between the minimum and maximum values of the budget deficit highlights extreme fluctuations over the years. High kurtosis points towards the presence of outliers, and the Jarque-Bera test confirms that the distribution is not normal. Trade Openness (TO) exhibits a more moderate variation, with the mean slightly higher than the median, indicating a less pronounced skewness. However, the standard deviation relative to the mean suggests variability, albeit less extreme than that for GDP PC or FDI. The Jarque-Bera test result for TO also suggests a departure from normality. However, the skewness and kurtosis values are not as high as those for GDP or BD, indicating a comparatively less skewed distribution.

Overall, the data for all variables demonstrate significant skewness and kurtosis, implying that the economic series are not normally distributed and have pronounced tails, which is typical in financial time series data. This non-normality and presence of outliers in the data sets may influence econometric modeling and inferential statistics.

4.1. Unit Root Test Result

Table 4 represents the result of the unit root tests. The Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test indicates that all variables are stationary at the first difference, indicating they are integrated of order one (I(1)) except GDP per capita. The test indicates non-stationarity at the level with a very high t-statistic and a probability of 1.0000, but it becomes stationary at the second difference, as indicated by a significant t-statistic and a probability of 0.0000, leading to the conclusion that GDP is I(2). These findings suggest that, although the variables exhibit varying levels of integration, the ARDL method for cointegration is suitable for estimating the long-term relationships among them.

Table 4: Unit-Root Test using Augmented Dicky-Fuller Test

Variable	At Level		At 1 st difference		At 2 nd difference		Decision
	t-statistic	Probability	t-statistic	Probability	t-statistic	Probability	
GDP PC	7.476068	1.0000	-1.245271	0.6452	-10.14886	0.0000*	I (2)
FDI	-0.131519	0.9382	-3.020797	0.0424	-3.497547	0.0140*	I (1)
BD	-0.829725	0.8001	-4.374605	0.0012	-7.852748	0.0000*	I (1)
TO	-1.225124	0.6547	-4.561176	0.0009	-7.727974	0.0000*	I (1)

Note: * denotes rejection of the null hypothesis at 5% level of significance (p-value).

Source: The author's calculations

4.2. Regression Analysis

The ARDL estimation in Table 5 offers insights into the relationship between GDP growth and several lagged independent variables.

Table 5: Regression Analysis

ARDL Long Run Form and Bounds Test				
Conditional Error Correction Regression				
Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	144.7594	41.47229	3.490509	0.0016
GDP_PC(-1)*	0.023554	0.029209	0.806381	0.4266
FDI(-1)	5.62E-08	2.11E-08	2.666328	0.0124
BD**	12.75236	3.096269	4.118622	0.0003
TO(-1)	-2.521447	1.001213	-2.518393	0.1476
D(FDI)	-1.85E-08	1.84E-08	-1.007138	0.3222
D(FDI(-1))	6.67E-09	2.77E-08	0.240871	0.8114
D(FDI(-2))	-3.58E-08	2.58E-08	-1.390266	0.1750
D(FDI(-3))	1.12E-07	2.22E-08	5.066000	0.0000
D(TO)	-7.828393	1.597958	-4.898999	0.0000
* p-value incompatible with t-Bounds distribution.				
** Variable interpreted as $Z = Z(-1) + D(Z)$.				

Source: The author's calculations

Lagged GDP PC (GDP PC (-1))

The coefficient of 0.023554, while positive, does not achieve statistical significance at conventional levels (p-value = 0.4266), suggesting that the previous period's GDP per capita does not have a strong predictive power for the current period in the long run.

Lagged Foreign Direct Investment (FDI (-1) and FDI (-3))

The coefficient 5.62E-08 is statistically significant (p-value = 0.0124), implying that past values of Foreign Direct Investment have a positive impact on GDP per capita in the long run. For FDI lag, FDI (-3), is positive and highly significant, indicating that FDI three years prior negatively impacts current GDP growth.

Budget Deficit (BD)

The coefficient of 12.75236 is statistically significant (p-value = 0.0003), indicating a positive and strong connection between the fiscal deficit and GDP per capita in the long run. Besides, the BD coefficient in the model is 12.75236. This suggests a positive correlation between the budget deficit (BD) and the dependent variable (presumably GDP growth or a similar economic indicator). Specifically, the coefficient value means that a one-unit increase in the budget deficit variable is associated with an increase of approximately 12.75236 units in the dependent variable, holding all other factors constant. The significance of this coefficient, as indicated by the low p-value (0.0003), suggests that the relationship between BD and economic growth is statistically significant. This means that changes in the budget deficit have a meaningful impact on the dependent variable, according to this model. This suggests that the budget deficit variable may be modeled in a

manner that considers both its level and change over time, thereby capturing its cumulative effect on the dependent variable.

Trade Openness (TO (-1))

It has a detrimental and statistically meaningful impact on economic growth, where the coefficient, (-7.382393), with a significant p-value (0.0000), implying that an increase in trade openness from the previous year is associated with lower GDP growth in the current year for the Bangladesh Economy.

The R-squared value of 0.982307 indicates that the model explains approximately 98.23% of the variation in GDP growth, which is exceptionally high. The adjusted R-squared value of 0.979843, which adjusts for the number of predictors, also shows a perfect fit. The F-statistic is highly significant (p-value: 0.0000), confirming that the overall model is statistically significant.

These results indicate that FDI has both immediate and delayed effects on GDP growth, with varying impacts depending on the lag. The strong negative relationship with trade openness may warrant further investigation into the nature of trade activities and their actual effect on economic growth. The model's strength is demonstrated by its high R-squared values and significant F-statistic. However, given the high R-squared value, these results should be interpreted with caution due to the possibility of overfitting.

4.3. Residual Diagnostic Tests

To ensure the reliability of the result, we conducted various diagnostic tests, the outcomes of which are presented in Table 6. According to the Breusch-Godfrey Serial Correlation LM Test, there is no notable serial correlation in the residuals of the ARDL model up to 2 lags.

Table 6: Diagnostic Tests

	Test Statistic	Value	P-value
Serial Correlation LM test	F-statistic	0.229333	0.7966
Heteroskedasticity Test	Chi-Square	1.830313	0.1051

Source: The author's calculations

The findings of the Breusch-Pagan-Godfrey test for heteroskedasticity are not statistically significant, suggesting that the null hypothesis of no heteroskedasticity in the model cannot be rejected. Put differently, there is no indication of heteroskedasticity in the model.

4.4. Stability Test

In our study, we employed the Cumulative Sum (CUSUM) test, as illustrated in **Error! Reference source not found.**, to evaluate the stability of the model parameters over time. The CUSUM test indicates that the model parameters remain

stable throughout the sample period. This stability is indicated by the plot staying within the 5% significance bounds, confirming that the coefficients consistently estimate the relationships between variables without structural breaks.




Figure 3: Recursive Residuals Cumulative Sum

5. Discussions

The detailed results and methodological accuracy of this study provide fresh insights into the longstanding discussion on the effects of budget deficits on economic growth in Bangladesh, using the ARDL framework for estimation over the period from 1980 to 2022. This approach provides a more comprehensive understanding than previous studies, such as those by Hussain and Haque (2017) and Biplob (2019), which primarily focused on shorter time frames or employed less dynamic econometric models.

The current findings align with the Keynesian perspective, as articulated by Chambers et al. (2015), which suggests that budget deficits can stimulate economic activity under specific conditions in the short term. This aligns with Biplob (2019), who suggests that budget deficits could foster growth under scenarios of low private investment. However, the long-term effects provide a critical counterpoint, revealing where sustained deficits might lead to higher interest rates, crowding out private investment, and echoing the Neoclassical concerns raised by Canterbury (2009). This dual effect emphasizes the conditional influence of fiscal policies, a distinction that is more thoroughly depicted in this study compared to prior research.

Furthermore, integrating FDI and TO into the analysis presents a new dimension that has not been thoroughly explored in earlier studies of Bangladesh. This study uniquely illustrates how these factors interact with budget deficits to influence economic growth, providing a significant extension of the existing literature that often isolates budget deficits without considering concurrent economic variables.

In terms of empirical methodology, core test results enhance the reliability of the findings compared to some prior studies that did not perfectly address these aspects. For instance, the ARDL model's ability to estimate variables integrated at

different orders provides a robust framework for understanding both long- and short-term relationships.

Finally, the research not only confirms some of the established theories regarding fiscal policy and economic growth but also refines them by presenting a more nuanced view of the economic impacts of budget deficits over the periods. The inclusion of comprehensive diagnostic tests ensures the robustness of the results, providing a better foundation for future research. This nuanced examination and methodological precision underscore the unique contributions of this study to the economic literature on fiscal policy and development in developing nations, such as Bangladesh.

6. Conclusion

This study thoroughly scrutinized the relationship between budget deficits and economic growth in Bangladesh, revealing a complex interplay influenced by Keynesian and Neoclassical economic theories. Findings suggest that budget deficits can, under certain conditions, stimulate economic growth by boosting aggregate demand, particularly during periods of low private investment and high unemployment. However, the possibility of higher interest rates and the displacement of private investment underscore the risks linked with long-term deficit financing. These insights underscore the necessity for sophisticated fiscal policy strategies to balance short-term economic stimulation with long-term fiscal sustainability. It is recommended to balance the fiscal approach, where government spending is carefully planned and executed to maximize economic benefits without compromising financial stability under a deficit budget. Strategic investments in infrastructure and human capital development are crucial, as these can significantly enhance the economy's productive capacity. At the same time, maintaining fiscal discipline is crucial to prevent adverse long-term consequences, such as high inflation and unsustainable debt levels. The primary limitation of this study is its reliance on historical data, which may not accurately capture the complex responses of the economy to future fiscal policies or unexpected global economic changes. Additionally, while the ARDL model is robust, it may not fully account for dynamic interactions or structural breaks within the economic variables, which could affect the precision of the forecasting. These constraints suggest the need for incorporating more sophisticated modeling techniques and up-to-date data in future research.

7. References

- Abdullah, S., Azad, A. K., & Siddiqua, S. (2018). Budget deficit and growth: In search of a ceiling for Bangladesh. *Business and Economic Horizons*, 14(4), 743–765.
- Alam, M. M., Sadekin, M. N., Islam, R., & Moudud-Ul-Huq, S. (2022). The Effect of Deficit Financing on Economic Growth in Bangladesh: A Cointegration and VECM Approach. *FIIB Business Review*, 11(2), 174-188.
- Alam, M. M., Sadekin, M. N., & Saha, S. K. (2022). The impact of macroeconomic variables on the budget deficit in Bangladesh: an econometric analysis. *South Asian Journal of Business Studies*, 11(2), 216–234.
- Arif, A., & Arif, U. (2023). Institutional Approach to the Budget Deficit: An Empirical Analysis. *SAGE Open*, 13(2), 21582440231171297. doi:10.1177/21582440231171297
- Barro, R. J. (1989). The Ricardian approach to budget deficits. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 3(2), 37-54.
- Biplob, M. N. K. (2019). Does budget deficit impede economic growth? Evidence from Bangladesh. *Journal of Management, Economics, and Industrial Organization*, 3(2), 66–94.
- Canterbery, E. R. (2009). *Making Of Economics, The -Vol Iii: The Radical Assault*: World Scientific Publishing Company.
- Chambers, D., Dimson, E., & Foo, J. (2015). Keynes the Stock Market Investor: A Quantitative Analysis. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, 50(4), 843–868.
- Chirwa, T. G., & Odhiambo, N. M. (2016). Macroeconomic determinants of economic growth: A review of international literature. *The South East European Journal of Economics and Business*, 11(2).
- Chowdhury, A., Hamid, M. K., & Akhi, R. A. (2019). The Impact of Macroeconomic Variables on Economic Growth: A Bangladesh Perspective. *Information Management and Computer Science*, 2(2), 19–22.
- de Mendonça, H. F., & Baca, A. C. (2022). Fiscal opacity and reduction of income inequality through taxation: Effects on economic growth. *The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance*, 83, 69-82. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.qref.2021.11.006
- Dey, S. R., & Tareque, M. (2022). Twin deficits hypothesis in Bangladesh: an empirical investigation. *International Journal of Emerging Markets*, 17(9), 2350-2379. doi:10.1108/IJOEM-06-2020-0628

- Emana, D. (2021). The Relationship between Budget Deficit and Economic Growth: Evidence from Ethiopia. *Applied Journal of Economics, Management and Social Sciences*, 2(2), 10-15.
- Hossin, M. S. (2023). Interest rate deregulation, financial development, and economic growth: Evidence from Bangladesh. *Global business review*, 24(4), 690–703.
- Hussain, M. E., & Haque, M. (2017). Fiscal Deficit and Its Impact on Economic Growth: Evidence from Bangladesh. *Economies*, 5(4), 37. Retrieved from <https://www.mdpi.com/2227-7099/5/4/37>
- Marpaung, G. N., Dahana, T. N., Pitaloka, L. K., Widia, S., Setiawan, A. B., & Kumala, S. N. (2023). Implementation of Tax Amnesty Policy on Indonesia's Economic Development. *Calitatea*, 24(195), 19-28.
- Mavodyo, E. (2022). The Impact of Budget Deficit on Economic Growth and Its Channels in South Africa. *African Journal of Economic and Management Studies*, ahead-of-print(ahead-of-print). doi:10.1108/AJEMS-10-2021-0436
- Musa, K., Ali, N., Said, J., Ghapar, F., Mariev, O., Mohamed, N., & Tahir, H. M. (2023). Does the Effectiveness of Budget Deficit Vary between Welfare and Non-Welfare Countries? *Sustainability*, 15(5), 3901. Retrieved from <https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/15/5/3901>
- Nazari, M., Asadi, E., & Imanian, M. (2023). Uncertainty, Budget Deficit, and Economic Growth in OPEC Member Countries. *Energy Sources, Part A: Recovery, Utilization, and Environmental Effects*, 45(2), 3519–3529. doi:10.1080/15567036.2019.1668510
- Okafor, S. N., Ekesiobi, C., Ifebi, O., Dimnwobi, S. K., & Asongu, S. A. (2022). Testing the triple deficit hypothesis for sub-Saharan Africa: Implications for the African Continental Free Trade Area. *African Development Review*, 34(1), 142-153.
- Pelsa, I., & Balina, S. (2022). *Development of economic theory—from theories of economic growth and economic development to the paradigm of sustainable development*. Paper presented at the DIEM: Dubrovnik International Economic Meeting.
- Rana, E. A., & Wahid, A. N. (2017). Fiscal deficit and economic growth in Bangladesh: A time-series analysis. *The American Economist*, 62(1), 31-42.
- Ravinthirakumaran, N., Selvanathan, S., & Selvanathan, E. A. (2016). The Twin Deficits Hypothesis in SAARC Countries: An Empirical Investigation. *Journal of the Asia Pacific Economy*, 21(1), 77–90.
- Roy, A., & Gupta, S. D. (2013). An empirical investigation of budget and trade deficits: the case of Bangladesh. *International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues*, 3(3), 570–579.

- Sadat, S. S., Najarzadeh, R., & Agheli, L. (2022). The Impact of Budget Deficit on the Economic Growth of Afghanistan. *Journal of Economic Cooperation & Development*, 43(3), 1–20.
- Sadekin, M. N., Alam, M. M., & Saha, S. (2020). Analysis of trend and sources of government budget deficit financing in Bangladesh. *Journal of International Studies*, 16, 129–144.
- Shah, S. S. A., Jadoon, S., & Afridi, M. A. (2022). Relevance of twin deficit hypothesis in the presence of structural breaks: evidence from Pakistan. *Quality & Quantity*, 1–16.
- Sirah, E. S. (2020). Budget Deficit and Economic Growth in Ethiopia: Evidence from the ARDL-VAR Model Approach.
- Tran, O. K. T. (2022). Does there exist an optimal budget balance to improve economic growth? Empirical evidence from Asian countries. *Cogent Economics & Finance*, 10(1), 2114174. doi:10.1080/23322039.2022.2114174
- Tung, L. T. (2018). The effect of fiscal deficit on economic growth in an emerging economy: Evidence from Vietnam. *Journal of International Studies*, 11(3). doi:https://doi.org/10.14254/2071-8330.2018/11-3/16
- Umeh, C. A., Ochuba, C. D., & Ihezue, U. R. (2021). The Impact of Government Budget Deficits on Public Health Sector Output in Nigeria. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, 11(2), 350-364.
- WDI. (2016). World Development Indicators. *World Bank*.